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Dear Friends,

We are delighted to present our latest edition of Common Bond. In keeping with our 2019 Sacred Sites Open House theme, “Medieval to Modern: Celebrating New York’s Religious Art and Architecture,” this issue highlights the broad spectrum of historical periods, faith traditions, and styles of religious architecture found in communities throughout New York City and State. Enclosed you’ll find articles demonstrating the variety and of New York’s religious architecture: the repurposing of magnificent movie palaces and theaters as houses of worship; the philosophy and practice of renewing historic sanctuary interiors; and the development and unfortunate loss of Stanford White’s magnificent Madison Square Presbyterian Church.

Highlights of this year’s 9th annual open house included popular walking tours encompassing multiple faith traditions and architectural styles, a tour of New York City’s oldest mosque, and two sold out tours at over-the-top theater-church, United Palace in Upper Manhattan. Preservation Buffalo-Niagara facilitated a tour of mid-century modern masterwork Temple Beth-El, by U.N. architects Harrison & Abramovitz. Rutgers Presbyterian Church boosted family-friendly participation by recruiting 12 neighborhood sacred sites to join them in hosting a multi-site scavenger hunt with prizes. We hope more sites around the state will pick up these programs next year.

The Landmarks Conservancy’s 33-year-old Sacred Sites program is dedicated to the preservation of historic religious architecture throughout New York State. We are one of a handful of programs in the country offering financial and technical assistance to maintain, repair, and restore their buildings. In addition to providing hundreds of thousands of dollars in matching grants each year, the Conservancy offers technical help, workshops for building caretakers, and publications.

For additional information about the Sacred Sites Program or Common Bond, please contact Ann Friedman at annfriedman@nylandmarks.org.
Beginning in the 19-teens, but accelerating in the 1920s into the early 1930s, in New York City as elsewhere in the country, large, grand, purpose-built movie theaters or "movie palaces" were created to house large audiences looking to be entertained in style, encouraging wealthier clientele while elevating the experience for all movie-goers. In an era when the movie studios owned and built movie houses, studio heads like Marcus Loew noted "we sell tickets to theaters, not movies."

Designed by world class architects and interior designers to house thousands of patrons, these were not ordinary theaters but huge, ornate spaces with magnificent lobbies, glittering chandeliers and elaborately decorated ceilings. Many of the theaters had an atmospheric style giving audiences the illusion that they would be watching a film in an exotic locale like an Italian garden, a Persian court or a Spanish patio.

Starting in the 1950s with the advent of television as well as the migration of city audiences to the suburbs, movie attendance dropped. Some theaters were razed, others still stand empty but some have a new life, transformed into churches.

One example is Manhattan’s United Palace, constructed in 1930 as Loew’s 175th Street Theatre. At 4140 Broadway in Washington Heights at 175th St, this landmarked building is
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View of the stage at United Palace.
the City’s fourth largest theater, with 3400 seats. One of five, renowned Loew’s “Wonder Theaters,” so-called because of their opulence and grandeur, the structure was designed by noted Master architect Thomas W. Lamb. Lamb, one of America’s great theater architects, was responsible for over three hundred theater buildings in the United States and around the world, most of which were movie theaters. The interiors were seen by decorative specialist Harold Rambusch who was also responsible for work on the Waldorf Astoria, Radio City Music Hall and churches all over the U.S. The building’s elaborate style was summarized by New York Times reporter David W. Dunlap as “Byzantine-Romanesque-Indo-Hindu-Sino-Moorish-Persian-Eclectic Rococo-Deco.”

United Palace of Spiritual Arts, (formerly known as United Christian Evangelistic Association,) purchased the building in 1969 to house its congregation, then headed by charismatic Spiritual Director Frederick J. Ekkenkotter II, known around the world as Reverend Ike. Although the theater was already lavish, Reverend Ike added new embellishments, buying Louis XV and Louis XVI furniture for what had been the men’s smoking room, which he used as his library.

Today, according to Heather Shea, Spiritual Director and Head Minister, “United Palace is a transformational venue that fuses culture, spirituality and entertainment. We promote spiritual artistry, stressing the importance of people communicating with the artist within themselves,” she says. The venue hosts a variety of events including concerts, some of which are spiritual in nature. These include a 2018 event with hugely-popular Mexican-born singer and Pastor Jesus Adrian Romero, presenting Latin Christian Music. A Christmas Cantata performed annually by a Korean church also brings in large audiences. In addition, United Palace shows movies, hosts dance events, invites local artists to perform and provides community programming through partner organizations. The space is also rented out for graduations, films, special events like a Carnival Cruise product launch and photo shoots. According to both Shea and Executive Producer Mike Fitelson who manages programming, public events are popular with both onsite visitors and attendees who participate online. “The space serves performing arts well as it has excellent sight lines and acoustics from its old movie palace days,” Fitelson notes.

On the spiritual side, there are Sunday services and programs such as Open Heart Conversations where teachers and leaders share their ideas, helping to foster dialogue, understanding and human connection. Monthly Shamanic Circles incorporate drumming, meditation and movement encouraging visitors to connect with their innermost selves.

United Palace employs an integrated marketing campaign using tactics including classic public relations, advertising, social media and cross-promotions with other organizations. According to Fitelson, in 2018, two hundred days were occupied with public programming. “The place was built as a place for the neighborhood to congregate,” he says. “We are delighted it continues to fulfill that function.”

Other landmarked New York City buildings that began as theaters have also been converted to churches. The Loew’s Paradise Theater at 2403 Grand Concourse in The Bronx was constructed during the era of grand movie palaces and later also used for live entertainment. Built in 1929, it was designed by John Eberson who wanted the Paradise to evoke the art and architecture of the late Renaissance or early Baroque period. The exterior was designated as a landmark in 1997 with interior designation following nine years later. As audiences dwindled, the building ran into financial difficulties and was leased in 2012 by the World Changers Church, led by Atlanta-based televangelist Creflo Dollar.

The Regent Theater was also a significant motion picture theater. Located at 1906-1916 Adam Clayton Powell Jr. Boulevard in Manhattan, it was built from 1912-13 and also designed by Thomas Lamb. In 1994 the exterior of the buildings was landmarked; the interior was renovated and renewed in 2006. After many changes in ownership, in 1964 The Regent Theater was purchased from RKO Theatres, Inc., by the First Corinthian Baptist Church, an African-American congregation formed in March, 1939. The congregation worked with the Conservancy on restoration of portions of its polychrome terra cotta façade in 2002-2004, but this large building has additional deferred maintenance, including the former theater’s marquee entrance. Today, First Corinthian is led by Michael A. Walrond Jr., a charismatic and sought-after teacher and preacher. Under his leadership, the church
has experienced exponential growth, and has undertaken major window restoration and interior renovations.

Thomas Lamb was also the architect for The Mark Hellinger Theater at 237 West 51st Street in Manhattan’s theater district. Built for Warner Brothers in 1929 as the Hollywood Theater, it has a lavish display of Baroque-inspired gilded plaster statuary and elaborate wall and ceiling paintings. The Mark Hellinger showcased movies and then vaudeville until 1934, when it was first converted for use as a legitimate theater where it was home to many Broadway plays. The highly decorated interior was landmarked in 1987. In 1991 the building was sold to the Times Square Church.

Loew’s Valencia Theater at 165-11 Jamaica Avenue, Queens, was designed by architect John Eberson and built in 1928. The 3554-seat structure was the first of the “Wonder Theaters” built to serve major population centers outside midtown Manhattan. The building’s exterior, with a brick and glazed terra-cotta facade inspired by Spanish and Mexican architecture, was landmarked in 1999. In 1977 Loew’s closed the Valencia Theater and donated it to the Tabernacle of Prayer for All People, a church congregation formerly located in Brooklyn. Since the Tabernacle took over the property it has maintained the building’s exterior almost completely intact.

The theater originally in this space was built for William Rolland, a Yiddish theater producer. It opened in 1929 having cost a million dollars to build with a neo-Moorish design by Harrison Wiernerman. In 1935, the theater’s name was changed to the Parkway Theater. By then, Brownsville was one of the city’s largest Eastern European Jewish communities, with Yiddish still spoken everywhere. Since the theater could hold large audiences, many High Holy Days celebrations also took place here, as did rallies for voter registration.

In 1954, the Hebrew Actors Union, a group of actors from the old Yiddish theaters, chose the Parkway as home base for their productions that year, planning to become a traveling theater group on the Yiddish playhouse circuit. As Yiddish theater became less popular, and many Jews moved out of Brownsville, the plan failed. In 1956 the theater was sold to the Holy House of Prayer for All People and was listed on the National Register of Historic Places in 2010.

Although facing obstacles, Holy House of Prayer remains a community fixture where Crawford runs a soup kitchen that feeds as many as three hundred diners Wednesday evenings. Another community entity, St. John’s Bread and Life, brings its mobile soup kitchen to the building’s grounds on Mondays and Thursdays for lunch.

Changing worship patterns are pushing many religious communities toward innovation and reinvention in order to remain vital community organizations. Former vast movie theaters, like United Palace, were once cathedrals of entertainment. Then they transformed into cathedrals of worship; today they are revamping and renewing themselves further by showcasing an array of performances and movies along with spiritual offerings.
A Modern Church on Madison Square
by Glen Umberger

Glen Umberger received an M.F.A. in architectural history from the Savannah College of Art and Design and a B.A. from the University of Delaware. He is the Manager of Special Projects at the New York Landmarks Conservancy where he serves as the staff architectural historian and is an Adjunct Instructor at NYU School of Professional Studies, Center for Applied Liberal Arts where he teaches courses on New York City's history and architecture. He specializes in nineteenth and early twentieth century American commemorative and civic architecture.

Left: Madison Square Presbyterian Church. (Richard M. Upjohn, 1853, demolished 1906).

Of the nearly 1,700 landmarks designated in New York City since 1965, approximately ten percent are sacred sites.1 Included on this exclusive list are many iconic houses of worship such as Trinity Wall Street (Richard Upjohn, 1846), St. Patrick’s Cathedral (James Renwick, Jr., 1878), and Temple Emanu-El (Robert D. Kohn, 1927). Also on this list are many lesser-known, though equally significant buildings such as Oliver Street Baptist Church (Mariner’s Temple, attrib. Minard Lafever or Isaac Lucas, 1842); Riverdale Presbyterian Church (James Renwick, Jr., 1863); and Reformed Church of the City of Delaware.  He is the Manager of Special Projects at the New York Landmarks Conservancy where he teaches courses on New York City's history and architecture. He specializes in nineteenth and early twentieth century American commemorative and civic architecture.

Landmarks Conservancy where he serves as the staff architectural historian and architecture. He specializes in nineteenth and early twentieth century and is an Adjunct Instructor at NYU School of Professional Studies, Center for Applied Liberal Arts where he teaches courses on New York City's history and architecture. He specializes in nineteenth and early twentieth century American commemorative and civic architecture.

The congregation of Madison Square Presbyterian Church was organized in early 1853 when the former Pearl Street Presbyterian Church then located at Elm (today Elk Street) and Pearl Street was dissolved due to declining membership and was merged with the Central Presbyterian Church, located on Broome Street (fig. 1).2 The merger of the two congregations increased the viability of Central Presbyterian, which allowed the congregation to remain on Broome Street until 1866 when it moved uptown, but also provided for the establishment of a new congregation, “to supply more adequately the religious wants in what was then the upper part of the city.”3 The site chosen for the new church, on the southeast corner of Madison Avenue and East 24th Street, was a vast improvement over the crowded conditions parishioners faced downtown. As the church’s minister, the Rev. Dr. William Adams, explained “[t]he site … must strike all as peculiarly pleasant and favorable. It was at once conspicuous and yet removed from general disturbance.”4 Additionally, the site chosen reflected a desire that the new church building “should occupy no obscured or invisible position, but at the same time they would not obtrude it upon a noisy thoroughfare.”5 Accordingly, the southeast corner of Madison Avenue and East 24th Street and Madison Avenue met the congregation’s specific criteria.

1. The New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission designates four types of landmarks: individual, historic district, and scenic landmarks. Combined, these types of designations protect more than 36,000 distinct works of architecture. See https://www1.nyc.gov/site/lpc/designations/landmark-types-criteria.page

2 Ibid


6 Ibid
Presbyterian Church, or “Old First” at Fifth Avenue and West 11th Street (Joseph C. Wells, 1846). Rutgers Presbyterian Church (today Church of St. Teresa of Avila) at Henry and Rutgers Street (Minard Lafever, 1841) and University Place Presbyterian Church (Richard Upjohn, 1845, demolished c. 1920) (fig. 3).

The choice of this particular style by New York City Presbyterians is noteworthy. Traditionally, Presbyterians constructed “meetinghouses” in which the congregation (or the “church”) met for worship. These meetinghouses were simple in architectural form with interior spaces that were austere with the pulpit as the centerpiece. These buildings also lacked the stained glass windows, ostentatious furnishings, and iconography commonly found in traditional Gothic church buildings. The design and furnishing of the meetinghouse was not to be a distraction from the act of worshipping God. By the mid-nineteenth century, as congregations grew and became more prosperous, each began constructing imposing stone buildings that reflected the new fashionable styles of the day. The new building for the Madison Square Presbyterian Church accordingly would be a magnificent building that would be a modern and stylish addition to the developing urban neighborhood. In fact, as one contemporary guide book of the city later called Upjohn’s design, it was “exceedingly neat in style.” Another critic heralded it as one of the best examples of “Early English” architecture in the city.

On Sunday, February 13, 1853 the church, not yet officially named, held its first public worship at Union Theological Seminary, on Union University Place with the formal organizational meeting held two weeks later on March 3rd, at which time the church was officially designated the Madison Square Presbyterian Church of the City of New York. The congregation initially met at Union Theological Society and then at Hope Chapel on Broadway (between Fourth Street and Astor Place) until their new building was completed.

On the evening of Tuesday, July 12, 1853, a ceremony was held at the construction site of the future Madison Square Presbyterian Church to officially lay the cornerstone. Rev. Dr. Adams’ address that evening included the reasoning behind such a ceremony:

“It is very natural and proper, in the commencement of public enterprises, to make some exposition of their object and design. In the erection of Christian churches and public edifices, custom appears to have rendered it almost imperative that, at an early stage in the proceedings, some appropriate exercises should mark the interest and importance of the occasion.

During the ceremony, Adams “deposited a handsome copy of the Bible, printed in the present year, with a parchment sheet of paper containing the names of the Ruling Elders, Building Committee, Architects, etc.” into the cornerstone.

In reporting the occasion, during which “a large and respectable attendance [had] assembled” the New York Times provided the following detailed description of the new church building:

The foundations are laid 14 feet deep. The entire length outside, including tower and lecture-room, will be 146 feet; breadth 34 feet 4 inches; space inside, 62 by 88, with a pulpit recess of 5 feet, making the entire length 90 feet. The tower will be 29 feet square, including the buttresses; height of tower and spire 206 feet. The edifice will be entirely built of Jersey freestone, similar to that of Trinity church. The walls 3 feet thick, with buttresses of 3 feet additional. The roof to be open, and supported without columns. There are to be galleries on either side, and an organ gallery. The lecture-room and Sunday-school room will each be 78 feet by 25 feet—the latter over the former. The pews to be of black walnut, and to seat 1,200 persons. The style of architecture, transition of first and second pointed English (Gothic).”

The church’s 208-foot tall spire was the tallest structure in the neighborhood at the time, yet still significantly shorter than the 281-foot spire at Trinity Wall Street, then the tallest structure in New York City (fig. 4). The Times observed that Dr. Adams “confessed a long cherished attachment to the spire of a Christian church [which] made the churches distinctive throughout a metropolis.”

The spire served not only an aesthetic purpose, but a spiritual one as well: “the spires of our Churches pierce the skies, as so many conductors to avert the wrath of Heaven, and to point a whole population to the City of God.”

Dr. Adams “confessed a long cherished attachment to the spire of a Christian church [which] made the churches distinctive throughout a metropolis.”

In the coming decades, it would also mark the location of another house of worship on the skyline of the expanding city (fig. 5).

While Charles H. Parkhurst briefly mentions a few details of the early days of the new congregation in his Brief History of the Madison Square Presbyterian Church and its Activities written a half a century later, it is curious to note that there is nothing specifically recorded about the congregations’ hopes for their new church in 1854. Nevertheless, on the occasion of the cornerstone laying, the New York Times offered this insight:

If God should prosper them, and they should rear this building, their imaginations might not conceive all that might occur within its walls. They looked forward to the great congregation, to whom for many years should occupy its seats and join in its worship; and their hearts ascended in prayer to God, that it might be the birth-place of many religious hopes, and that a long line of faithful ministers should stand at its altar and proclaim the truth of the Lord.

Unbeknownst to those early congregants, their Richard M. Upjohn-designed, Gothic Revival building would be relegated to the dustpan of history in less than five decades. Perhaps the most famous building on Madison Square at the turn of the 20th century was the second incarnation of the famed Madison Square Garden (McKim, Mead & White, 1880, demolished 1928) (fig. 6). As the second tallest structure in New York City upon its completion, its
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7 Located at 16 West 25th Street, Trinity Chapel was consecrated in 1856. In 1946, it was purchased by the Serbian Orthodox Church and renamed the Serbian Orthodox Cathedral. It was designated a New York City Landmark on April 18, 1965 and listed on the National Register of Historic Places on December 16, 1962.
8 The historic building suffered a catastrophic fire on May 1, 2016. See also James Miller, ed. Miller’s New York As It Is or, Stanger’s Guide-book to the City of New York, Brooklyn and Adjacent Places: Comprising Notices of Every Object of Interest to Strangers, Including Public Buildings, Churches, Hotels, Places of Amusement, Literary Institutions, etc. New York: James Miller, 1871. 24.
9 Another fine example of the Greek Revival style is the now defunct Village Presbyterian Church (formerly Thirteenth Street Presbyterian Church at 145 West 13 Street) built in Samuel Thompson, 1846, altered 1863.
10 The church was included with a short description under the heading of “The Churches of New York,” noting that “it is estimated that there are about 800 churches in New York, of many of them being of great elegance. We annex brief notices of the more prominent and elegant.” James Miller, Miller’s New York as it is or, Stanger’s Guide-book to the City of New York, Brooklyn and Adjacent Places: Comprising Notices of Every Object of Interest to Strangers, Including Public Buildings, Churches, Hotels, Places of Amusement, Literary Institutions, etc. New York: James Miller, 1867, 76.
11 “What Has been Done and What Can Be Done,” The New York 1, no. 5 (September 1853), 58.
12 Rev. Charles H. Parkhurst, D.D. A Brief History of the Madison Square Presbyterian Church and its Activities (New York: Madison Square Presbyterian Church, 1922), briefly mentions a few details of the early days of the new congregation.
14 Also included was the following inscription: “Madison-square Presbyterian Church of the City of New York, organized in the Chapel of the Union Theological Society, University Place, on Thursday evening, March 3, 1853, to an association of 142 members; to which number there have been added 40, making a total of 186 members. Pastor Rev. Wm. Adams, D.D. Cornerstone laid July 12, 1853.” “Laying of the Cornerstone of Madison-square Presbyterian Church—Address by Rev. Dr. Adams,” New York Times, July 13, 1853.
20 “Church” here refers to both the physical building, and its congregation.
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Moorish/Venetian-Renaissance-style tower and cupolas dominated the northeast corner of Madison Square Park, dwarfing the slender Gothic Revival spire of Madison Square Presbyterian Church two blocks to the south (fig. 7). The large scale of this civic structure may have inspired the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, which constructed an eleven-story, full block headquarters building next door to the church in 1893 and with this development, the church became "increasingly restive under the growing encroachment of our city population."

23 "The Presbyterian Church in New York," Munsey’s Magazine 10, no. 3 (December 1893), 258.

When the Presbyterians chose the site for their building in 1863, it was purposeful and strategic. By placing the church in a visually prominent location away from the distractions of major thoroughfares, namely 23rd Street, Fifth Avenue, and Broadway, the church could attract the attention of the general public without having noisy neighbors interrupt Sunday services. Fronting the Square, their building would open onto green oasis in the midst of the ever expanding urban grid. Five decades later, their prime corner lot at East 24th Street and Madison Avenue would turn out to be the church’s greatest asset.


The Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, less than five years in their new headquarters directly to the south of the church, was already looking to expand and desired to occupy the entire block of Madison Avenue between East 23rd Street and East 24th Street for their operations. In May 1894, after considering their options, members of the church leadership "unanimously agreed that we would not entertain the idea of ‘moving uptown;’ [and made] enquiries as to whether three suitable contiguous lots were obtainable elsewhere on the Square or upon some street or avenue adjacent thereto." A careful and lengthy search ensued and on Monday, February 2, 1903, the congregation of the Madison Square Presbyterian Church held a "Special Corporate Meeting" and approved the resolution to sell their building to the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company. As a result of this complex real estate transaction, Metropolitan Life received the lot and improvements (the building) of the Madison Square Presbyterian Church in exchange for the sum of $325,000 in cash and the sum of $325,000 in cash and the conveyance of the lot directly across the street on the northeast corner of Madison Avenue and East 24th Street, which was then occupied by the Bishop mansion (fig. 9). The mansion was purchased separately by Metropolitan Life and deeded to the Church as part of the transaction.


In response to the news of the sale, the New-York Observer pronounced, "It is gratifying to know that this historic and useful church is to be known for another generation at least, as the Madison Square Presbyterian Church." Furthermore, the Church resolved "to proceed, without unnecessary delay, to erect thereon a church edifice and chapel of suitable character, and dimensions, and to furnish and equip the same."


A design of a church edifice of "suitable character" was awarded to the architectural firm of McKim, Mead & White, the premier "starchitects" of the day (long before such a term was coined) with the expressed understanding that "the sum which
the church could expend did not exceed $325,000." 31 Stanford White (1853-1906) submitted a general scheme to the church's building committee at the end of April 1903, "the elements of which were a bold portico and front, a dome above, the exterior materials to be brick and terra-cotta and the columns of marble and granite." 32 At an early date, the Rev. Charles H. Parkhurst, D.D. 33 Rev. Charles H. Parkhurst, D.D.  A Brief History of the Madison Square Presbyterian Church and its Activities (New York: Madison Square Presbyterian Church in its Activities, 1906), 73. In March 1907, it was conservatively estimated that the new building cost $400,000. 34 See William T. Demarest, "A Great Year of Presbyterian Church Building in New York City," Journal of the Presbyterian Historical Society 4, no. 1 (March 1907), 2. Stanford White, a purveyor of the American Renaissance style of architecture, had designed the near Madison Square Garden a decade earlier and the commission for the new Madison Square Presbyterian Church would stand as a beacon of modern architecture in stark contrast to the medieval-inspired dreary brownstone church building across the street. Both buildings would briefly stand together, side-by-side on Madison Avenue, 35 "Tearing Down an Historic Church," The New-York Observer 84, no. 29 (July 19, 1906), 69. 36 The New York House-Wrecking Company, 514 East 23rd Street was responsible for the demolition work. 37 Rev. Charles H. Parkhurst, D.D.  A Brief History of the Madison Square Presbyterian Church and its Activities (New York: Madison Square Presbyterian Church, 1906), 71. The New-York Observer reported on October 4, 1906 that the "main auditorium is being rushed to completion... " 38 George Redington Montgomery, Dedication of the Madison Square Presbyterian Church (New York: 1906), 17. "Madison Square Church Dedicated. Sermon by the Rev. Charles H. Parkhurst, D.D." The New-York Observer 84, no. 42 (October 18, 1906), 504. Alfred Sirn's Madison Square Presbyterian Church was nearing completion (fig. 12). 39 George Redington Montgomery, Dedication of the Madison Square Presbyterian Church (New York: 1906), 17. Cf. "Madison Square Church Dedicated. Sermon by the Rev. Charles H. Parkhurst, D.D." The New-York Observer 84, no. 42 (October 18, 1906), 504. 40 "Vanishing of a Church," Real Estate Record and Builders' Guide 78, no. 2004 (August 11, 1906), 252. Shortly before his death, Stanford White had described the style of the building accordingly: The style of architecture of the Madison Square Presbyterian Church is that of the early Christian, with a modified Byzantine treatment in the interior. It is to a certain extent a protest against the prevalent idea among laity that a building to be churchlike must be built in medieval style. The style of architecture known as Gothic has nothing to do with the simple forms of early Christian religion, or with that of the Reformers, or with the style of architecture which prevailed in our own country when it had its birth as a nation. All these, which belong to the Protestant religion and to us, have no affilia- tion whatsoever with Gothic, but with the classical style. The Gothic or medieval form of architecture belongs absolutely to the Roman Catholic Church, and was developed under monastic influences and traditions which were obtained from the tenth to fifteenth century. Nor is the plan of the churches and cathedrals built in medieval style that of a modern church, but is properly fitted only to the forms and rituals of the Catholic Church.

31 Rev. Charles H. Parkhurst, D.D.  A Brief History of the Madison Square Presbyterian Church and its Activities (New York: Madison Square Presbyterian Church, 1906), 73. In March 1907, it was conservatively estimated that the new building cost $400,000. See William T. Demarest, "A Great Year of Presbyterian Church Building in New York City," Journal of the Presbyterian Historical Society 4, no. 1 (March 1907), 2.

There were some architectural critics of the day who were not impressed with what was Stanford White’s final design commission (fig. 15). Based on its unique architectural style, both for its dramatic departure from the Gothic and for its heavy reliance on ancient Roman architecture, it was criticized as “heathen.”51 Others suggested that the exterior was more “scholastic rather than the ecclesiastical,” inferring that the church looked no different than White’s Gould Memorial Library (1900) for the University Heights campus of New York University (fig. 16). Nevertheless, the New York Chapter of the American Institute of Architects awarded it their Gold Medal of Honor, recognizing it as an important work of modern architecture. Likewise, the Journal of the Presbyterian Historical Society lauded that of the seven “fine [Presbyterian] structures” built in the city in 1906, “the most notable of these new edifices, architecturally and artistically, is the Madison Square Presbyterian Church.”52 As for style, the Journal noted that it “differs from any other Presbyterian church building in the city.”53

One remarkable architectural characteristic of the new Madison Square Presbyterian Church was the use of a variety of rich materials to create a vibrant color scheme. In fact, it was the first time in the United States that glazed tiles were used to execute such a polychromatic façade.54 In contrast to the dark monochromatic brownstone of its predecessor across the street, White’s building featured ornamental details executed in lively hues of green, blue, and yellow, set against buff-colored brick (fig. 17). Especially noteworthy were the six, 30-foot-tall, green granite Corinthian columns supporting the portico, and the colored terra-cotta elements were especially made to perfectly harmonize with these polished granite columns, creating a “jewel of architecture.”55 The columns, in turn, supported a heavy terracotta pediment with gold painted figures set against a blue background in the tympanum.56 The New York Tribune described the pediment:

The decoration has for its subject ‘The Adoration of the Shrine of Truth.’ The central shrine or tabernacle is supported by floating angels with harp and scroll, and these angels, who, with the cherubs placed at different points in the composition, chant the praises of God, are symbolic of religious song and word. The knight on the right of the shrine represents the Church Militant. In the same position on the other side is a shepherd whose meaning is equally obvious, and the two together illustrate
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its ecclesiastical neighbor across the street and, according to the New York Chapter of the American Institute of Architects, an architectural treatment was necessary, in which delicacy and beauty of detail would be combined with scale which could not be crushed by surrounding skyscrapers, and, for this reason, a domed structure was decided upon, which permitted the use of an imposing portico of columns, the shafts of which, in pale green granite, would be over 30 feet high, and would outweigh in scale anything in the surrounding buildings.

The Church was built upon a marble basement, of a special molded brick, and terra-cotta, semi-glazed. Running through the brick was a checker pattern of slightly varying color, at the intersections of which the brick headers were stamped with varying color, at the intersections of which the brick headers were stamped with scale which could not be crushed and beauty of detail would be combined.

To add insult to injury, the Times lamented, “its demolition is a distinct architectural loss to the city.” 55

While White’s church building was not the tallest on the square, like Upham’s earlier version had been when it was finished, even so, its architectural style and color gave it the gravitas it deserved. Unfortunately, it would only occupy its site facing Madison Square for 13 years (fig. 21).

On Tuesday, May 6, 1919, the New York Times reported that “workmen began yesterday to tear down the Madison Square Presbyterian Church at the northeast corner of Madison Avenue and Twenty-fourth Street [and] it’s destruction will remove one of the most interesting as well as one of the most costly religious edifices in the city” (fig. 22). 24 In its obituary, the Times lamented, “its demolition is a distinct architectural loss to the city.” 55

To add insult to injury, the Times concluded, “...the handsome building, which would cost over $500,000 today to build, was valueless, and the wrecking firm, in addition to being paid for removing the structure, will get all of the valuable material, including the six magnificent pale green granite columns in front of the classical portico. These columns are among the largest and finest in the city, being thirty feet in height.” 55

Some architectural elements from the building found their way into museum collections, including a doorway that turned up in storage at the Brooklyn Museum; a Corinthian doorway that turned up in storage at the Metropolitan Museum, a more important structure actually built of glazed terra-cotta, semi-glazed. Running through the basement, of a special molded brick, and material, including the six magnificent pale green granite columns in front of the classical portico. These columns are among the largest and finest in the city, being thirty feet in height. 55

It is hard to know for certain what would have happened to Richard M. Upjohn’s Madison Square Presbyterian Church had it not been demolished in 1906. It is equally uncertain what would have become of Stanford White’s masterpiece had it not met the same fate in 1919. An argument could be made in both cases that these buildings should have been designated as individual landmarks by the New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission based on their respective styles and architectural pedigrees. It is also quite likely that they would have been listed on the New York State and National Register of Historic Places. Instead, we are left only with written descriptions and photographs of two masterful Madison Square Presbyterian Church buildings, which offer us a tantalizing glimpse into the rich architectural diversity of nineteenth-century New York houses of worship.
Sanctuary Interior Decoration: Balancing Beauty, Liturgy, Public Programming, and Historic Architecture

by Emily Sottile

“Religion & Art are a celebration of Man’s relationship with the divine. They share very much in common; religion reveals a unity of truth and art renders this truth imaginable. Each gives life to the other. Religion without art could be beyond man’s grasp and art without religion would have no place in man’s heart.” - Dedication plaque in McGill University’s interfaith Birks Chapel.

Emily Sottile is the Director of Sacred Space Studio at EverGreene Architectural Arts Inc. Drawing on formal studies in art history and theology and over a decade in the field of preservation and specialty construction, Emily works closely with clergy, architects, and committees to plan restoration and new design of religious buildings across the country.

Beauty may feel superficial when weighing investing in restoring or renovating an old house of worship against feeding the hungry. But when we look to nature, we find beauty is never frivolous. Art has a very specific job: to draw in. We need only look at a flower that beckons a bee, or a peacock a mate, to see this in action and to know beauty is not the end point but an entry point.

The answer to the question of whether to dedicate resources to mission or the building is: both. They are integrally related. Communities are often surprised to discover how willing donors are to support the reinstatement of lost historic artistry. Stabilization of the plaster may be the most pressing component of a restoration but it’s often the introduction or reinstatement of the artwork that galvanizes a community.

Above: Artwork in progress for St. Thomas Aquinas Catholic Student Center, Lincoln, NE.
GRACE CHURCH, BROOKLYN:
Restoration of Lost Artistry

Grace Church in Brooklyn’s Historic Garden District (Brick’s Street, Brooklyn Heights) was originally conceived of as a mediation on salvation history, specifically the reinstatement of the Garden. Using patterns taken from Augustus Pugin’s design books, every surface at Grace Church invites theological engagement. A vine motif in the aisle flooring, patterning of seed pods opening into crosses on the lower walls, a celestial ceiling featuring stars on a blue background amidst wood grained neo-gothic beams recalling gazing at the firmament through tree branches. Through much of the twentieth century this vibrant Victorian artistry program was hidden beneath beige and brown post-historic paint, but clues from the magnificent historic artistry were ghosting (barely visible) through the presentation layers. A significant part of the restoration of Grace Church was comprised of plaster stabilization (using consolidation methods) and preparation of the substrate for a long-lasting painting campaign. A historic finishes investigation and plaster survey revealed original paint colors and patterning, and informed treatment recommendations and budgets. Well-designed liturgical artistry programs are layered and work on a number of levels. Symbolism can speak explicitly to those literate in the vocabulary, while the formalistic qualities can work in a more subtle way. Color, rhythm, and scale can have profound psychological impacts. At Grace Church the color palette was carefully evaluated in a collaborative process with church leadership, architecture and design team, and lighting specialists. Using the Victorian palette as a place of departure the colors were adjusted to harmonize with the architecture under the new lighting conditions (making colors read very differently from the historic gas light). In the early stages of the restoration it was assumed reinstating the decoration would cost prohibitive but the options were evaluated holistically and the team arrived upon creative solutions to remedy plaster pathologies while bringing back the lost artwork.

ST. JOSEPH’S CO-CATHEDRAL: Restoration & New Design in a Historic Building

The Co-Cathedral of St. Joseph’s in Brooklyn underwent a comprehensive renewal in 2013. The building was 101 years old and had just been elevated to cathedral status. The historic plaster, scagliola, paint, and murals were severely compromised. While significant stabilization and restoration was needed, much of the renewal involved adjustments to serve the liturgical functions specific to a cathedral. This included reconfiguration of the presbytery (altar platform) to ensure sufficient space for candidates for the priesthood to lay prostrate during ordinations, choir stalls to accommodate diocesan liturgies, and a canopy to better integrate the cathedral (Bishop’s seat) from the historic St. James Cathedral into its new environs. For the first century of its life, St. Joseph’s parish served the Prospect Heights community, but in its new role as a cathedral it was suddenly responsible for serving and representing a diverse diocese (including the boroughs of Brooklyn and Queens) where liturgies are celebrated in more than thirty languages. In keeping with tradition St. Joseph’s used art to signal her role as the mother church. Notable elements include murals of apparitions of Mary (patroness of twenty-two countries with significant populations in the dioceses) symbols of the sacraments above the central aisle which invite parallels between the procession towards the altar with the heavenward Christian pilgrimage, and a new mural of American saints and New Yorkers with causes for Sainthood set amongst familiar landmarks of the diocese (the Brooklyn Bridge, and Queens Globesphere), and ethnically diverse celestial beings. All of the new artwork was created to seamlessly integrate with the style, palette, and scale of the figures and ornament in the historic murals and stained glass.

When confronted with the decision to invest in the restoration of St. Joseph’s in Brooklyn, Co-Cathedral pastor, Monsignor Kieran Harrington, asked, “Don’t the poor deserve to spend time amid beauty?” Indeed, while access to museums, the symphony, and fine homes may be restricted to those who can afford it, houses of worship have long served as venues for encounter with musical and visual arts to all who enter as congregants or visitors.
Theoretical Framework for Ecclesiastical Art & Decoration

Ecclesiastical art has two primary jobs: • Formalistic: to support the architecture • Theological: to support the liturgy

Supporting the architecture may mean selecting appropriate colors and treatments to help the ornament read the way it was meant to: to give a sense of scale to vast areas; to anchor elements that may appear to float; to give visual weight to the lower areas and a sense of the heavens to those above; to give the eye dynamic engagement in some places, and rest in others. It also means solving logistical issues such as hiding or better integrating a joint, HVAC component, repair, or new liturgical consideration. It also means working in a language compatible with the architecture.

Supporting the liturgy may mean something as abstract as creating a church codified a visual language of

Theological: to support the liturgy such as hiding or better integrating a sense of procession or as practical precedent. The key to a successful mystery of faith through imagery still in use today, but the principals of good ecclesiastical decoration are applicable to any denomination.

Marble Collegiate Church, Manhattan: Preservation

When we talk about art and beauty in houses of worship we tend to think of stained glass, murals and statues, but architectural artwork encompasses the walls themselves (which may bear simple or elaborate two-dimensional ornamentation), as well as, three-dimensional ornament such as molding, ribs, and capitals. Marble Collegiate Church in Manhattan is an 1864 building with elegant Victorian stenciling, patterning, and finishes throughout the sanctuary. The community’s restoration efforts focused on consolidation of delaminating plaster (cleaning from the attachment system or general deterioration), painting in the historically-informed palette, and reinstatement of compromised patterns with an eye to preserving the 19th century interior for use in the 21st century.

Deferring maintenance can be costly, but the funds aren’t always available to do everything at once. Congregations are often surprised by how developing a holistic restoration or renewal program can elevate a scope of work from maintenance to revitalization. Looking at the big picture (which may include all the things that need to be repaired for life safety and access to reach it, straight painting, conservation of historic artwork, as well as the beautiful things that may fall under a wish list if funds are available) can seem like a very tall order, but has concrete benefits. A comprehensive vision with associated budgets allows for a strategic plan of attack and allocation of resources on a project that may seem unsurmountable.

Eldridge Street Synagogue, Manhattan: Capturing a Moment in Time

Eldridge Street Synagogue is an amazing example of a successful fight to save a threatened religious landmark and spiritual home. Investigation of the plaster conditions revealed the most appropriate restoration methodology, but there were important decisions to make about what preservation of the 1887 interior meant in the context of a severely compromised building that would reinstate its liturgical function, while simultaneously operating as a museum. Rather than making it look brand new, the decision was made to return the historic artwork (some of which was conserved and some of which was replicated) in sound condition but with a patina of age, appearing as if the synagogue had been well preserved for over a century. The patinaed artistry program is liturgically appropriate for the synagogue’s religious services and a powerful tool used by the Museum at Eldridge Street to transport visitors to a time when the synagogue served the growing Eastern European Jewish population setting in the Lower East Side by way of Ellis Island.

Investigation of the plaster conditions revealed the most appropriate restoration methodology, but there were important decisions to make about what preservation of the 1887 interior meant in the context of a severely compromised building that would reinstate its liturgical function, while simultaneously operating as a museum. Rather than making it look brand new, the decision was made to return the historic artwork (some of which was conserved and some of which was replicated) in sound condition but with a patina of age, appearing as if the synagogue had been well preserved for over a century. The patinaed artistry program is liturgically appropriate for the synagogue’s religious services and a powerful tool used by the Museum at Eldridge Street to transport visitors to a time when the synagogue served the growing Eastern European Jewish population setting in the Lower East Side by way of Ellis Island.
ST. MEL’S, QUEENS: Renovation in a Modern Vocabulary

When St. Mel’s Academy was built in the mid-20th Century, the church was supposed to be across the street. Concern for children crossing a busy road led to putting the church in what had been intended to be the gym. Practical though it may have been, a church in a gym struggles to suggest a foretaste of heaven, but St. Mel’s community was vibrant and committed to redeeming their spiritual home. A challenge of the renewal was keeping within the architectural language of the building. As magnificent as a baroque baldacchino or gothic stations of the cross may be in their own right, nothing of the sort could be introduced into St. Mel’s because a key aspect of the fittingness of any element is how it harmonizes with the aesthetics of its unique location.

At St. Mel’s it was essential the new artistry be in dialogue with the very modern stained glass dominating a full wall, that proportions of the artwork and furnishings be of an appropriate scale in a space wider than it is tall. To break up the strong vertical thrust of the ceiling, panels featuring symbols of the sacraments, and shields of bishops and orders significant to the parish’s history were introduced. The carpeted floor was replaced with tile with borders and marble inlay to lend the space a stronger sense of order and procession. Confessinals that looked like utility closets were given simple surrounds to invite participation in the sacrament. New murals, scriptural passages, and decorative painting behind statuary now engage parishioners in prayerful contemplation. At the dedication a parishioner remarked, “When you have an ugly baby you still love it, but that’s what we had here with our church, and now it’s so beautiful! It feels like a church!”

The goal of timeless artistry programs is best achieved when the tendency to incorporate things we like (or are handy) is cast off in favor of elements whose form, color, location, proportions, material properties, visual vocabulary, and content are informed by the architecture, liturgy, and historic precedent. This principle is applicable to any style. When the artwork and architecture speak the same language, especially in a house of worship, they conspire to help us ask and answer questions about why each element is there and the conversation they are drawing us into.
Some facts about this year’s Sacred Sites Open House:


Participating sites encompassed the amazing diversity of architectural styles found in our Sacred Sites throughout New York State. One wonderful example of the Romanesque Revival style is Willard Memorial Chapel (Andrew Jackson Warner, 1894) constructed as part of the former Auburn Theological Seminary. Distinctive architectural elements of this style include the tripartite arch entrance portal, the corbel table below the roof gable, and semi-circular arches used for all window and door openings.

The Sacred Sites Open House showcases sites that have been deemed architecturally and culturally significant with landmark designation. Such designations may include listing on the National and State Registers of Historic Places, recognized as a National Historic Landmark, and in New York City, designated a landmark by the New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission. A rare example of a Sacred Site that has all three designations is Central Synagogue at East 55 Street and Lexington Avenue. Designed by Henry Fernbach in 1870, Central Synagogue displays the exotic Moorish Revival style and is one of the oldest synagogue buildings extant in the United States.

New York’s historic sacred sites incorporate architectural details that could be considered delightful works of art on their own merit. The Star of David, found in both Jewish and Christian iconography is displayed in the round window at the picturesque United Methodist Church of Bay Shore.

This year, it celebrated the incredible art and architecture found in our Sacred Sites. But, there are so many more magnificent examples that can only be found in historic photographs. These are New York’s lost sacred sites, one of which was the Church of the Sacred Hearts of Jesus and Mary, the former Roman Catholic Church constructed in 1906 on Degraw and Hicks streets in Carroll Gardens. In 1940, Robert Moses proposed the construction of the Brooklyn Queens Expressway which forced the congregation to vacate their building. On Sunday, December 7, 1941, the church celebrated its final mass and the congregation moved into St. Stephen’s Roman Catholic Church, creating the combined parish of Sacred Hearts of Jesus and Mary - St. Stephen.
The ninth annual Sacred Sites Open House May 18-19, 2019, showcased the broad spectrum of historical periods, faith traditions, and styles of religious architecture around New York State. The weekend’s theme: Medieval to Modern: Celebrating New York’s Religious Art and Architecture inspired a number of ‘firsts’ including pre-booked tours at mid-century modern masterpieces: St. Peter’s Church and Nevelson Chapel in Midtown Manhattan and Temple Beth Zion in Buffalo. Other ‘firsts’ included the participation of New York City’s oldest mosque, Moslem Mosque, Inc., in Williamsburg, Brooklyn, and a multi-site scavenger hunt organized by Rutgers Presbyterian Church on Manhattan’s West Side that attracted dozens of families and individuals.

Among the 157 sites opening their doors across the state, thirty-two were participating for the first time, from Woodhaven, Queens to Northport Long Island, Newburgh to Albany, Seneca Falls to Buffalo, and Rome to Watertown. Walking tours offered by open house co-sponsors Queens Historical Society and Friends of the Upper East Side Historic Districts, plus curated, pre-booked tours offered by ten New York City religious institutions were quickly “sold out,” providing 300-plus visitors with in-depth tours and ample opportunity for questions. A New York City visitor was delighted that there was “someone nice and interesting at every site—in fact, the volunteers were often as interesting as the sites themselves.”

At United Palace of Spiritual Arts, a first-time participating site located in Manhattan’s Washington Heights, Atlanta resident Marjorie K. visiting with New York friend, Joyce F., said she was “awe-struck by the grandeur of the fifty-foot movie screen and velvet-covered seats surrounded by beyond-Baroque bling.” Joyce praised the venue’s “astonishing grandeur and detail.”

Two dozen visitors enjoyed a guided tour of picturesque Gothic Revival landmark Christ Church in Riverdale where they particularly enjoyed learning that the altar rail had been donated by Babe Ruth in memory of Church member and neighbor, Lou Gehrig.

A Poughkeepsie family saw the Conservancy’s Sacred Sites Open House announcement posted in a local paper and planned a rendezvous in the Bronx, travelling together to the three Bronx churches—Christ Church, Riverdale Presbyterian and St. James Fordham. Jennifer, a Chicago native and one-year resident of Manhattan, heard about the Sacred Sites Open House tours while on a Municipal Arts Society-sponsored program. She joined the Scavenger Hunt on Saturday; the Queens Historical Society Flushing Walking tour on Sunday morning, and the featured tour of newly redecorated, Art Deco-filled, Blessed Sacrament Church in Jackson Heights Sunday afternoon. “I’m so glad the Conservancy organized this Sacred Sites Open House,” she enthused. New York Deco-philes Bob and Harriet termed the Blessed Sacrament tour “magnifico!”

In addition to guided tours and music, Trinity Memorial Church, Binghamton, displayed a story board on High Victorian Gothic Architecture. Blessed Trinity Roman Catholic Church, in Buffalo, featured a display of the three churches in Pavia, Italy that were models for their look-alike 12th Century Lombard Romanesque edifice, a creative approach that resulted in the site’s largest visitor turnout ever.

Smithfield Presbyterian Church, Amenia, used the year’s art theme to create a children’s art project. Children of all ages were invited to

“I’m so glad the Conservancy organized this Sacred Sites Open House”

“there was someone nice and interesting at every site—in fact, the volunteers were often as interesting as the sites themselves.”

“Worth every minute”
contribute to a 15-foot long stretch of mural paper on the community room, attracting artists ranging from a ninth-grader to three toddlers.

A visitor who learned about the program from the Conservancy website and email went to eight never-visited-before sites, joining programs both Saturday and Sunday and being “particularly dazzled” by St. Vincent Ferrer on Manhattan’s Upper East Side.

“Worth every minute,” reported a visitor to Staten Island sites Church of St. Andrew, Calvary Presbyterian Church and Immanuel Lutheran Church. Staten Islanders Lisa and Eugene C. attended a pre-booked tour at St. Peter’s Roman Catholic Church and noted that “not realizing how rich with history Staten Island is, it was a pleasure learning all of this great information from Glen (Umberger) and the New York Landmarks Conservancy. We’re grateful to the Conservancy for enlightening us with the history of our hometown.”

Contact:
Kent Dobish • 607-257-4049
kent@vertical-access.com

Inspection, Testing and Specialized Contracting Services

St. Bartholomew’s Church
Winner of a 2018 Lucy G. Moses Preservation Award

Rohlf’s Studio is an established stained glass firm which will celebrate its 100th anniversary in 2020, our past projects can be viewed on our website which has taken us throughout the U.S., South America, Africa, Japan and many other countries worldwide.
Returning Sacred Sites

2019 was the Conservancy’s 9th annual statewide Sacred Sites Open House. We are grateful to all the staff and volunteers at participating congregations that make this event possible.

Here, we celebrate sixteen sites that have enthusiastically opened their doors to the public year after year since 2011-2012.

Returning Sacred Sites

Church of the Resurrection, Kew Gardens.

Cathedral of St. John the Divine, Manhattan.

Blessed Trinity Roman Catholic Church, Buffalo.

St. Patrick Roman Catholic Church, Binghamton.

Eldridge Street Synagogue, Manhattan.

St. Ann and the Holy Trinity Church, Brooklyn.

Greek Orthodox Church of the Annunciation, Manhattan.

Corpus Christi Church, Buffalo.

First United Church of Christ, Elmira.

Christ and St. Stephen’s Church, Manhattan.

Temple Emanu-El, Manhattan.
The New York Landmarks Conservancy awards matching grants to congregations that are planning or undertaking the restoration of historic religious properties. In 2018, the Sacred Sites program pledged 41 grants totaling $487,500 to 40 religious institutions throughout New York State, leveraging over $10.6 million in repair and restoration projects.

To be eligible for our grant programs properties must be located in New York State, owned by a religious institution, actively used for worship, and listed on the State or National Register of Historic Places or designated pursuant to a local landmarks ordinance. Eligible properties include churches, synagogues, meetinghouses, mosques, and temples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Grant Amount</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albany</td>
<td>United Church of Cohoes</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>Cohoes, New Roof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Br. Anselm’s Church</td>
<td>$40,000</td>
<td>Bronx, Roof and Masonry Restoration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chautauqua</td>
<td>Trinity Episcopal Church</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
<td>Fredonia, Restoration and Re-leading of the Memorial Windows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>Christ Church Episcopal</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>Hudson, Window Restoration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>Jay Gould Memorial Reformed Church</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>Roxbury, Architectural services for East Wall Masonry Repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dutchess</td>
<td>Church of the Messiah</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Rhinebeck, Water Infiltration Mitigation and Repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smithfield Presbyterian Church</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>Amenia, Window Restoration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erie</td>
<td>Corpus Christi Church</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>Buffalo, North Aisle Roof, Clerestory Masonry and Drainage System Repairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Our Savior Lutheran Church</td>
<td>$15,000</td>
<td>Buffalo, Slate Roof and Copper Flashing Repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fulton</td>
<td>St. Francis of Assisi Church</td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td>Northville, Replacement of Masonry Entrance Steps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kings (Brooklyn)</td>
<td>Bridge Street African Wesleyan Methodist Episcopal Church</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>Bedford-Stuyvesant, Stained Glass Window Restoration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Altogether, the program supported projects across New York State, affording 100% matching funds to eligible religious institutions.
First Unitarian Congregational Society  
Brooklyn Heights, Exterior Accessibility Project  
$10,000

Flatbush Reformed Church  
(Reformed Protestant Dutch Church of Flatbush)  
Flatbush, Steeple, Roof, Roof Drainage and Masonry Restoration  
$25,000

Flatbush-Tompkins Congregational Church  
Ditmas Park, Sanctuary Window Restoration  
$10,000

Stuyvesant Heights Christian Church  
Bedford-Stuyvesant, Roof and Window Assessment and Project Management  
$3,500

Monroe  
Union Presbyterian Church  
Scottsville, Roof Replacement  
$2,500

New York (Manhattan)  
Broadway Presbyterian Church  
Upper West Side, Exterior Envelope Restoration  
$30,000

Congregation B’nai Jeshurun  
Upper West Side, Conditions Assessment  
$5,000

Metropolitan Baptist Church  
Harlem, Thermal Imaging Roof Leak Investigation  
$4,000

Mt. Zion Evangelical Lutheran Church  
Hamilton Heights, Conditions Assessment and Feasibility Study  
$7,500

West Park Presbyterian Church  
Upper West Side, Community House Roof Replacement  
$10,000

Oneida  
First Baptist Church  
Rome, Bell Tower Restoration  
$25,000

First United Methodist Church  
Oneida, Preparation of Plans and Specifications for Exterior Restoration  
$3,500

Onondaga  
St. Paul’s Syracuses  
Syracuse, Masonry Restoration  
$6,000

Ontario  
Temple Beth El  
Geneva, Structural Repairs to Historic Tea Porch  
$7,000

Otsego  
St. Mathew’s Episcopal Church  
Unadilla, Steeple, Church Façade Repair and Restoration  
$10,000

Queens  
Beth-El Temple, Church of God in Christ  
Far Rockaway, Sanctuary Window Repair  
$5,000

St. Joan of Arc Church  
Jackson Heights, Parapet Reconstruction, Masonry and Roof Drainage Repair  
$25,000

Rensselaer  
St. Joseph’s Church  
Troy, Exterior Rehabilitation  
$3,000

Saratoga  
First Baptist Church of Saratoga  
Saratoga Springs, Stained Glass Window Restoration  
$5,000

Steuben  
St. Thomas Church  
Bath, Shingle Roof Replacement, Bluestone Coping, Roof Drainage and Rose Window Restoration  
$10,000

Suffolk  
Bethel A.M.E. Church - Eato House  
Setauket, Conditions Assessment  
$3,000

First Congregational Church  
Riverhead, Wood Window Restoration  
$4,000

First Presbyterian Church  
Southold, Tower Conditions Assessment  
$3,500

St. Paul’s United Methodist Church  
Northport, Steeple, Stained Glass Window and Exterior Wood Restoration  
$35,000

United Methodist Church of Patchogue  
Patchogue, Roof, Masonry and Window Waterproofing Repairs  
$20,000

Conservation Report to Address Water Damage  
$5,000

Sullivan  
St. John’s Episcopal Church  
Monticello, Window Restoration  
$10,000

Ulster  
Reformed Church of Hurley  
Hurley, Window Restoration and Storm Window Installation  
$4,000

Warren  
Hague Baptist Church  
Hague, Reconstruction of Steeple and Belfry  
$25,000

Westchester  
St. John’s Church, Getty Square  
Yonkers, Design and Implementation of Clerestory Window Shoring  
$10,000
Special thanks to this year’s Sacred Sites Open House Sponsors!

United Palace, Washington Heights, NY.
Photo by Katie Lentz
Winner of the 2019 Sacred Sites Open House Photo Contest